

STATINTL

STATINTL

		10 JUN 1974
MEMORANDUM FOR: C/OP/RS		
John, Attached is a memo from one of our senior people. I would like to get your views on it. [REDACTED] seems to be saying that if the intent of the Regs in using the phrase "length of service" is really to mean time-in-grade as a factor to be used in promotion consideration, then he has no argument except that the phrase should be changed to "time-in-grade." Further, he appears to be making a point that the Regs on this issue need some amplification in a way that will eliminate the promotion consideration of an employee beyond his level of capability when the consideration has been overly influenced by the longevity factor.		
What do you think?		
[REDACTED]		5 June 1974 (DATE)
Chief, PB/SS/NPIC		
FORM NO. 1 AUG 54	101	REPLACES FORM 10-101 WHICH MAY BE USED.
		(47)